

## Interview Summary

Application No.  
09/224,556

Applicant(s)  
Dixit et al.

Examiner  
Gary L. Kunz

Group Art Unit  
1647



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Gary L. Kunz

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Tom Bordner

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Dec 19, 2001

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic      b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes      e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 39 - 42, 44 - 46, 51 - 53, and 55 - 57

Identification of prior art discussed:  
NONE

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Mr. Bordner requested clarification of the Advisory Action mailed 12-13-01. Mr. Bordner was confused because box #5 indicated that claim 51 was not included as being allowable. However, in box #8 the examiner indicated that claims 51 - 53 and 55 - 57 are allowable. The examiner did not include claim 51 as being allowable in box #5 because the Office action mailed 6-19-01 had already indicated that claim 51 is allowed. In summary, all pending elected claims (51 - 53 and 55 - 57) are allowed. Non-elected claims 39 - 42 and 44 - 46 will be canceled by the examiner by Examiner's Amendment when the case is prepared for allowance. However, as noted in the Advisory Action a Letter of Suspension of prosecution will be forthcoming because of a potential interference.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

*Gary L. Kunz*

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.